

Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

CSP-2018-1_TN - Statewide NIPF Land Evaluation Set_Forest

Soil Erosion

Sheet and Rill Erosion

	Planning Criteria	Planning Crit	eria Met
	Soil surface organic residue cover greater than 80%; OR, Site is stable and without visible signs of erosion.	Yes	No
	Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Te	est Met
	The forest O horizon is covered with leaves, needles, fine woody debris, rocks, and/or herbaceous vegetation that protects the soil on more than 80% of the area.	Yes	No 🗌
<u>Cl</u>	assic Gully Erosion		
	Planning Criteria	Planning Crit	eria Met
	Classic gullies are not present; Or, Classic gully management is adequate to stop the progression of head cutting and widening and offsite impacts are minimized by vegetation and/or structures.	Yes	No 🗌
	Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Te	est Met
	Classic Gullies are not present; Or, All classic gullies are stabilized; AND, All areas expected to have high erosion rates are stable.	Yes	No 🗌
	Drainage and erosion control measures are implemented on roads, trails and landings to minimize detrimental effects of concentrated flow, erosion and sedimentation. Stream crossings are restored and stabilized.	Yes	No



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

CSP-2018-1_TN - Statewide NIPF Land Evaluation Set_Forest **Streambank, Shoreline, Water Conveyance Channels**

Planning Criteria	Planning Cri	iteria Met
For shorelines and water conveyance channels; banks are stable or commensurate with normal geomorphological processes; AND, If bank erosion is present, it is beyond the client's control or commensurate with normal geomorphological processes; AND, For streambanks, SVAP2 bank condition element score greater than 5. If shorelines or water conveyance channels are not present, set this planning criteria to NA.	Yes	No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation T	est Met
Excluding all fundamentally unstable, natural geomorphic streambanks and shorelines, all streambanks and shorelines on the land use show few signs of erosion or bank failure; AND, Each is stable and protected with natural materials. If shorelines and water conveyance channels do not exist on the land management system, set	Yes	No



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

CSP-2018-1_TN - Statewide NIPF Land Evaluation Set_Forest

Soil Quality Degradation

Organic Matter Depletion

	Planning Criteria	Planning Crite	eria Met
	Organic matter within the soil is managed by means of proper forest management. Determined and documented by use of on-site evaluations and state specific forestland management practices.	Yes	No
	Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Te	st Met
	Tree/shrub residue is left in place to provide for natural organic matter cycling within the forest.	Yes	No
<u>C</u>	ompaction		
	Planning Criteria	Planning Crite	eria Met
	Soil compaction is not a problem: AND, Activities do not cause soil compaction problems AND can be documented with prior conservation planning or other on-site evaluation methods.	Yes	No
	Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Te	st Met
	Soil compaction is limited to roads and landings. Tree root growth is not impeded. No more than 15% of the forested area is devoted to roads, trails, and landings.	Yes	No



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

CSP-2018-1_TN - Statewide NIPF Land Evaluation Set_Forest

Excess Water

Runoff and Flooding and Ponding

Planning Criteria	Planning Cr	iteria Met
Runoff, flooding, and ponding is managed to minimize the impact on conservation measures and/or forest production.	Yes	No 🗌
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation T	Test Met
Drainage and erosion control measures are implemented on roads, trails and landings to minimize detrimental effects of concentrated flow, erosion and sedimentation; AND, stream crossings are restored and stabilized.	Yes	No



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

CSP-2018-1_TN - Statewide NIPF Land Evaluation Set_Forest

Water Quality Degradation

Pesticides in Surface Water

Planning Criteria	Planning Criteria Met
Pesticides are stored, handled, disposed and applied to prevent runoff, spills, leaks and leaching; AND, Conservation practices and techniques are in place to minimize ground water impacts.	Yes No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Test Met
Pesticides are not applied or stored on this land management system; Or,' Pesticides are applied using a site-specific mixture of prevention, avoidance, monitoring, and suppression (PAMS) strategies. Environmental risk screening tool are used (such as WIN-PST or similar LGU approved tool); AND, application rates and timing are compliant with the label.	Yes No
Pesticides in Ground water	
Planning Criteria	Planning Criteria Met
Pesticides are stored, handled, disposed and applied to prevent runoff, spills, leaks and leaching; AND, Conservation practices and techniques are in place to minimize ground water impacts.	Yes No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Test Met
Pesticides are not applied or stored on this land management system; OR, Pesticides are applied using a site-specific mixture of prevention, avoidance, monitoring, and suppression (PAMS) strategies; AND, Environmental risk screening tool are used (such as WIN-PST or similar LGU approved tool); AND, Application rates and timing are compliant with the label.	Yes No



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

<u>CSP-2018-1_TN - Statewide NIPF Land Evaluation Set_Forest Nutrients in Surface water</u>

Planning Criteria	Planning Crite	eria Met
Organic or inorganic nutrients are not applied and the PLU is not grazed; OR, If nutrients are applied, they are based on a soil test, tissue tests or nutrient budget and livestock access to streams is controlled.	Yes	No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Te	st Met
Livestock access to streams is limited to short periods of time and small areas.	Yes	No 🗌
The land adjacent to a stream, river, or other waterbody on the side or sides you control: - has diverse, natural plant cover typical to that along other streams within the drainage basin; - extend from the stream bank/shoreline for a distance of 35 feet; OR, (if applicable) The minimum State buffer-width requirement, whichever is greater; AND, Have few places where concentrated runoff flows through.	Yes	No
Drainage and erosion control measures are implemented on roads, trails and landings to minimize detrimental effects of concentrated flow, erosion and sedimentation; AND, Stream crossings are restored and stabilized.	Yes	No
<u>scess Pathogens and Chemicals from Manure, bio-solids or Surface Water</u>	Compost Ap	plications
Planning Criteria	Planning Crite	eria Met
Potential sources of pathogens or pharmaceuticals are not applied on the land; OR, Organic materials are applied, stored, and/or handled to mitigate negative impacts to surface water sources.	Yes	No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Te	st Met
Livestock access to stream is controlled; OR, Livestock are limited to small watering or crossing areas.	Yes	No 🗌



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

<u>CSP-2018-1_TN - Statewide NIPF Land Evaluation Set_Forest</u> <u>Excessive Sediment in Surface Water</u>

Planning Criteria	Planning Crit	eria Met
There are no untreated sources of erosion and streams or shoreline are not on or adjacent to site; OR, Upslope treatment and buffer practices address concentrated flows to water bodies; AND, Heavy use areas are stable; AND, The SVAP2 - bank condition is greater than or equal to 5.	Yes	No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Te	est Met
The land adjacent to a stream, river, or other waterbody on the side or sides you control does: - have diverse, natural plant cover typical to that along streams in your area, - extend from the stream bank/shoreline for a distance of 35 feet or (if applicable) the minimum State buffer-width requirement, whichever is greater; AND, Have few places where concentrated runoff flows through.	Yes	No
Drainage and erosion control measures are implemented on roads, trails and landings to minimize detrimental effects of concentrated flow, erosion and sedimentation; AND, Stream crossings are restored and stabilized.	Yes	No
Elevated Water Temperature		
Planning Criteria	Planning Crit	eria Met
Water courses on or adjacent to the site are not designated by a State Agency as a temperature impairment; OR, The SVAP2 - riparian area quality element score is greater than or equal to 5; AND, The SVAP2 - riparian area quantity element score is greater than or equal to 5; AND, The SVAP2 - canopy cover element score is greater than or equal to 6; OR, Existing conservation practices are in place to address water temperature. If water courses are not present, set this planning criteria to NA.	Yes	No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Te	est Met
More than 50% of the water surface is shaded on the length of the stream/river for this land management system. If waterbodies are not present on this land management system, set the test statement to NA.	Yes	No 🗌



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

CSP-2018-1_TN - Statewide NIPF Land Evaluation Set_Forest

Air Quality Impacts

Emissions of Particulate Matter (PM) and PM Precursors

Planning Criteria	Planning Crit	eria Met
Management activities do not contribute to agricultural source particulate matter (PM) or PM precursor emissions; AND, documented episodes or complaints of emissions of PM (dust, smoke, exhaust, etc.), or chemical drift have not occurred. PM producing activity examples are: Prescribed Burn is conducted, Travel ways unpaved or untreated with binding agents, Engines (combustion source), Tillage, Pesticides are applied, Fertilization (manure/commercial), CAFO/manure management.	Yes	No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Te	est Met
Field operations and activities are managed to minimize particulate emissions on the farm (i.e. multi-operation field tools, precision guidance systems, Prescribed Burn plans are implemented, and treatment/management of all non-vegetated, unpaved travel ways.)	Yes	No
Prescribed Burning activities are timed and implemented to ensure basic smoke management practices are applied. If Prescribed Burning is not used, set this test statement to NA.	Yes	No
Emissions of Ozone Precursors		
Planning Criteria	Planning Crit	eria Met
Operations that produce ozone precursor emissions are not present; OR, or are managed to reduce emissions. Ozone precursor producing activities may include: Engines (combustion source), Pesticide application, Burning, CAFO /manure management, or fertilization (manure/commercial).	Yes	No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Te	est Met
If prescribed burning is used a prescribed burning plan is followed that includes all applicable smoke management practices.	Yes	No 🗌



Natural Resources Conservation Service CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM **Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool**

CSP-2018-1_TN - Statewide NIPF Land Evaluation Set_Forest

Degraded Plant Condition

Undesirable Plant Productivity and Health

	Planning Criteria	Planning Crite	eria Met
	Forest species are adapted to site AND, Composition and stand density meet ecological site objectives and production goals.	Yes	No
	Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Te	st Met
	The forest or woodlot is fully stocked with tree species adapted to the site, has spacing for good tree growth and air flow between and beneath, does not have excessive tree mortality, has an understory made up of desirable species and is not inhibited by brush or other undesirable vegetation. Monitoring for insects and disease is completed to prevent outbreaks that would be detrimental to forest health.	Yes	No
<u>In</u>	adequate Structure and Composition		
	Planning Criteria	Planning Crite	eria Met
	Planning Criteria Plant communities contain adequate diversity, composition and structure to support desired ecological functions for the ecological site.	Planning Crite Yes	No
	Plant communities contain adequate diversity, composition and		No 🗌
	Plant communities contain adequate diversity, composition and structure to support desired ecological functions for the ecological site.	Yes	No 🗌



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

<u>CSP-2018-1_TN - Statewide NIPF Land Evaluation Set_Forest</u> <u>Excessive Plant Pest Pressure</u>

	Planning Criteria	Planning Crit	eria Met
	Plant pest damage to plants is below economic or environmental thresholds; AND, plant pests, including noxious and invasive species are managed.	Yes	No 🗌
	Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Te	est Met
	Noxious weeds, and plants that impact forest growth, are controlled or are not present.	Yes	No
	Trees are selected or planted that are tolerant of known damaging pests. Woody debris that fosters pest outbreaks is appropriately treated to reduce risk.	Yes	No
W	Ville II and Francisco Diamaga Accessolation		
	<u>'ildfire Hazard, Excessive Biomass Accumulation</u>		
	Planning Criteria	Planning Crit	eria Met
		Planning Crit	eria Met No 🔲
	Planning Criteria Wildfire hazards is not a concern; OR, Fuel loads and fuel ladders are		No



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

CSP-2018-1_TN - Statewide NIPF Land Evaluation Set_Forest

Fish and Wildlife - Inadequate Habitat

Inadequate Habitat - Food

Planning Criteria	Planning Cri	iteria Met	
The WHSI rating is greater than or equal to 0.5; AND, (when surface stream present) The SVAP2 - fish habitat complexity element score is greater than or equal to 7; AND, The SVAP2 - aquatic invertebrate habitat element score is greater than or equal to 7; OR, Conservation practices and managements are in place that meet or exceed species or guild-specific habitat model thresholds; OR, Food is available in quality and extent to support habitat requirements for the species of interest.	Yes	No	
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation T	Test Met	
Plant growth and cover is managed to develop and maintain habitat to benefit target wildlife species.	Yes	No 🗌	
Trees and shrubs provide nectar and pollen sources for pollinators and beneficial insects as well as providing adequate food for browsing animals.	Yes	No	



Natural Resources Conservation Service CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM **Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool**

<u>CSP-2018-1_TN - Statewide NIPF Land Evaluation Set_Forest Inadequate Habitat - Cover/Shelter</u>

Planning Criteria	Planning Cr	iteria Met
The WHSI rating is greater than or equal to 0.5; AND, (when surface stream present) the SVAP2 - barriers to movement element score is greater than or equal to 7; AND, the SVAP2 - fish habitat complexity element score is greater than or equal to 7; AND, the SVAP2 - aquatic invertebrate habitat element score is greater than or equal to 7; OR conservation practices and management practices are in place that meet or exceed species or guild-specific habitat model thresholds; OR, habitat cover is of available quality and extent to support requirements for the species of interest.	Yes	No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation T	Cest Met
The pond/lake, which supports a natural or planted fish population, is managed: -to exclude livestock, -to control nuisance species and undesirable aquatic vegetation controlled, -to complies with state and local regulations when stocking the pond, AND -use of a buffer zone of diverse, natural plant cover at least 35 feet wide.	Yes	No
The stream(s) have: - a natural, unaltered configuration, with minimal channel straightening, dredging, or bank alteration by armoring with rip-rap or other non-natural materials, - stable banks with limited erosion or bank failure; AND, human uses and/or grazing levels that do not negatively impact bank condition. If streams are not present on the land management system, set the test statement to NA.	Yes	No
Livestock access to stream(s) is controlled; OR, livestock access is limited to small watering or crossing areas	Yes	No
Timber is managed in uneven aged stands, and not clear-cut.	Yes	No 🗌
Plant growth and cover is managed to develop and maintain habitat to help chosen wildlife species. (see State Wildlife Action Plan)	Yes	No
Designated areas are planted as food and habitat for pollinators/beneficial insects. For example, planted to nectar and pollen producing plants and protected from disruptionchemical, biological, or mechanical	Yes	No



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

<u>CSP-2018-1_TN - Statewide NIPF Land Evaluation Set_Forest Inadequate Habitat - Water</u>

Planning Criteria	Planning Criteria Met
The WHSI rating is greater than or equal to 0.5;AND, (when surf stream present) The SVAP2 - aquatic invertebrate habitat element score is greater than or equal to 7; OR, Conservation practices and managements are in place that meet or exceed species or guild-species habitat model thresholds; OR, Water is available in quality and extra support habitat requirements for the species of interest.	nt ad pecific
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Test Met
Water for habitat is accessible and at the right depth, duration, an time of year for chosen wildlife species (See State Wildlife Actio Plan)	



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

CSP-2018-1_TN - Statewide NIPF Land Evaluation Set_Forest **Inadequate Habitat - Habitat Continuity (Space)**

Planning Criteria	Planning Crit	eria Met
The WHSI rating is greater than or equal to 0.5; AND, (when surface stream present) The SVAP2 - barriers to movement element score is greater than or equal to 7; AND, The SVAP2 - aquatic invertebrate habitat element score is greater than or equal to 7; OR, Conservation practices and managements are in place that meet or exceed species or guild-specific habitat model thresholds; OR, The connectivity of habitat components are adequate to support stable populations of target species.	Yes	No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Test Met	
Designated areas are planted as habitat for pollinators and beneficial insects. Non-cropped area protected from disruption during nesting and foraging periodschemical, biological, or mechanical.	Yes	No
Connectivity between food resources and cover and shelter is provided for the target wildlife species. (see State Wildlife Action Plan)	Yes	No
The land adjacent to a stream, river, or other waterbody on the side or sides you control does: - have diverse, natural plant cover typical to that along streams in your area; AND, Extend from the stream bank or shoreline for a distance of 35 feet; OR, (if applicable) The minimum State buffer-width requirement, whichever is greater.	Yes	No
In-stream structures (i.e. dam, diversion structure, bridge, culvert, low-water stream crossing, etc.) allow for the upstream and downstream movement of fish and other aquatic animals throughout most of the year.	Yes	No



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

CSP-2018-1_TN - Statewide NIPF Land Evaluation Set_Forest

Livestock Production Limitation

Inadequate Feed and forage

	Planning Criteria		Planning Criteria Met	
	Livestock forage, roughage, and supplemental nutritional requirements are met.	Yes	No	
	Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Te	st Met	
	An existing Prescribed Grazing plan is on schedule. Animal stocking levels, minimum forage heights are maintained and rotation periods are designed to avoid harm to sensitive plants. If the forest is not grazed, set this test statement to NA.	Yes	No	
In	adequate Water			
	Planning Criteria	Planning Criteria Met		
	Water of acceptable quality and quantity is adequately distributed to meet animal needs.	Yes	No	
	Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Test Met		
	The livestock have enough drinking water of good quality. If livestock do not use this land management system, set the test statement to NA.	Yes	No	



Conservation Activity Evaluation Tool

CONSERVATION STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM

CSP-2018-1_TN - Statewide NIPF Land Evaluation Set_Forest

Inefficient Energy Use

Equipment and Facilities

Planning Criteria	Planning Criteria Met	
On-site renewable energy and/or energy conserving implements have been implemented to improve energy efficiency for field operations.	Yes	No 🗌
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Test Met	
Energy conserving implements are used for all or some field operations.	Yes 🗌	No 🗌